



n- Methylaniline Used as Power Booster Additives Used in Ethanol Gasoline Blends: A Review

¹Dr. C. B. Kothare, ²Prof. U. N. Galat, ³N. Wandhare, ⁴V. Bhajbhuj, ⁵D. Shinde, ⁶P. Wankhede, ⁷Prof A. A. Kanaskar

^{1,2,3,4,5,6}Department of Mechanical Engineering, Shri Shankarprasad Agnihotri College of Engineering, Wardha, Maharashtra, India.

¹Chandrakant.kothare@rediffmail.com, ²galatumesh0@gmail.com,

³nwandhare11@gmail.com, ⁴vinaybhajbhuj2002@gmail.com,

⁵dhirajshinde2023@gmail.com, ⁶prajwalwankhede456@gmail.com,

⁷abhijitkanaslar007@gmail.com

Article History

Received on: 10 Feb. 2025

Revised on: 28 Feb. 2025

Accepted on: 30 March 2025

Keywords: n-Methylaniline, Spark-Ignition Engines, Ethanol-Gasoline, Blends, Octane Booster, Fuel Additives,

e-ISSN: 2455-6491

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15423555

**Production and hosted
by**

www.garph.org

©2025|All right reserved.

ABSTRACT

The growing demand for high-performance, fuel-efficient, and environmentally friendly internal combustion engines has led to research on fuel additives that enhance combustion efficiency and emissions control. n-Methylaniline (NMA) has gained attention as a potential octane booster in ethanol-gasoline blends, improving octane number, ignition timing, and knock resistance in spark-ignition engines. Studies show that NMA enhances combustion characteristics, thermal efficiency, and cold-start emissions, making it a promising option for sustainable fuel formulations. Additionally, it helps reduce NO_x and CO₂ emissions while maintaining fuel stability. However, concerns about its oxidation stability, toxicity, and long-term engine effects require further investigation. Comparisons with MTBE and ETBE highlight differences in degradation behavior and environmental impact, emphasizing the need for optimized formulations. Alternative ethanol and other additives blends have also shown potential in improving engine efficiency and reducing emissions. This review evaluates the feasibility of NMA as a superior octane enhancer, offering insights into its integration into modern fuel systems while balancing performance, durability, and sustainability.

1. INTRODUCTION

The use of fuel additives to enhance the performance and efficiency of spark-ignition (SI) engines has been extensively studied. Among

various additives, n-Methylaniline (NMA) has gained significant attention due to its ability to improve octane rating, combustion efficiency, and fuel stability [1]. Modifications in fuel delivery systems, such as carburetors, have also been

explored to enhance phase stability and accommodate higher alcohol content in fuel blends [2]. Additionally, ethanol-gasoline blends have demonstrated promising results in reducing cold-start emissions and improving combustion efficiency [3]. However, despite its benefits, the long-term impact of NMA on emissions and engine durability requires further investigation [4]. Several studies have examined the role of NMA in ethanol-gasoline blends, highlighting its ability to optimize ignition timing, enhance thermal efficiency, and minimize knock tendencies [5]. Furthermore, research on MTBE and ETBE has provided insights into their degradation behaviors in contaminated soils, contributing to a broader understanding of fuel additive impacts on the environment [6]. This literature review consolidates findings from multiple studies to assess the feasibility of NMA as a power-boosting additive in ethanol-gasoline fuel formulations.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Several studies have explored the performance and emission benefits of ternary fuel blends in spark-ignition (SI) engines, focusing on improved combustion characteristics and reduced emissions. Research suggests that modifying fuel delivery systems, such as carburetors, enhances phase stability and allows for higher alcohol content in the fuel mixture. Additionally, incorporating additives like n-methylaniline (NMA) has been shown to improve octane rating, enhance combustion efficiency, and reduce harmful emissions [8].

Numerous studies have investigated the role of NMA as a power-boosting additive in ethanol-gasoline blends, highlighting its potential to enhance combustion characteristics and improve engine performance. Research indicates that NMA can increase octane number, optimize ignition timing, and contribute to better thermal efficiency while minimizing knock tendencies in SI engines. However, its effects on emissions and long-term engine durability require further investigation [9].

Studies have also examined the influence of NMA on ethanol-gasoline blends, demonstrating its potential to enhance octane rating, combustion efficiency, and anti-knock properties. Research further explores its impact on engine performance, emissions, and NO_x formation, providing insights into its feasibility for optimizing ethanol-gasoline fuel mixtures [10].

Research on NMA as a power-boosting additive in ethanol-gasoline blends has emphasized its potential to increase octane number, improve combustion efficiency, and reduce knock tendencies in SI engines. Studies also assess its effects on emissions and engine performance, offering valuable insights into its role in optimizing ethanol-gasoline fuel formulations [11].

Further studies indicate that NMA effectively enhances fuel stability, increases octane number, and improves combustion efficiency in ethanol-gasoline blends. Research also examines its impact on engine performance, emissions, and the feasibility of integrating NMA into modified fuel systems for optimized SI engine operation [12].

Additionally, studies highlight NMA's role in stabilizing fuel properties, improving combustion efficiency, and increasing octane number in ethanol-gasoline blends. Research explores its effects on engine performance and emissions, suggesting its potential for optimizing ethanol-gasoline fuel formulations [13].

Investigations into NMA as a fuel additive emphasize its contributions to octane enhancement, improved combustion characteristics, and optimized engine performance. Studies also examine its effects on emissions and fuel stability, demonstrating its potential to complement ethanol blends in achieving higher efficiency and reduced knock tendencies in SI engines [14].

Research on NMA further highlights its ability to enhance octane number, improve combustion stability, and optimize cold-start performance in ethanol-gasoline blends. Studies examine its role in maintaining fuel properties, reducing knock tendencies, and balancing emissions in ethanol-enriched fuel mixtures for SI engines [14]. In contrast, research on MTBE and ETBE, commonly used gasoline additives, indicates that they degrade more slowly than BTEX in contaminated soils, with ETBE breaking down slightly faster. MTBE enhances BTEX degradation, whereas ETBE slightly inhibits it, particularly in organic-rich soils [15].

Ethanol-gasoline blends (10–30%) derived from grape pomace have been found to reduce cold-start emissions and improve combustion efficiency in four-stroke SI engines. Studies analyzing fuel consumption and emissions show significant reductions in incomplete

combustion products with increasing ethanol content [16]. Ethanol-methanol (EM) gasoline blends have demonstrated improvements in engine performance while reducing NO_x and CO₂ emissions, with E10 showing optimal results. The E5M5 blend achieved 1.9% lower NO_x and 1.1% lower CO₂ emissions than E10 due to reduced exhaust gas temperature [17]. Although NMA is a cost-effective octane booster, it has limitations related to toxicity and poor oxidation stability. Experimental results suggest that blending NMA with suitable stabilizers and antioxidants enhances RON while maintaining fuel stability. This optimized formulation provides refiners with a viable solution to meet gasoline specifications efficiently [18].

Your research successfully identified NMA as a more efficient and environmentally friendly octane-enhancing fuel additive compared to Methylcyclopentadienyl Manganese Tricarbonyl (MMT). Experimental results demonstrated that NMA produced the highest-octane numbers—90, 93.4, and 96 at 1%, 2%, and 3% volume additions, respectively—outperforming both 2,4-dimethylaniline and aniline, while also exceeding the octane rating of 86.6 achieved with 18 mg/L of MMT. Additionally, all tested fuel parameters met the required Ghana Standards (GS) and ASTM specifications, reinforcing the suitability of NMA as a superior alternative to MMT in gasoline formulations [19].

Octane improvement can be achieved by increasing high-octane oil fraction output or using alternative antiknock additives. For Kazakhstan's oils, NMA is a suitable additive that enhances octane number, reduces emissions, and extends catalyst lifespan. NMA meets European standards, is cost-effective, and requires only 2.5% to boost the octane rating from 76 to 92 [20]. The study examines anti-knock additives, particularly monomethylaniline (MMA) and methanol, which significantly enhance the octane rating of AI-92 gasoline without altering its composition. MMA (0.5–2.5% vol.) increases octane by up to 4.5 units (research method) while also improving environmental properties [21].

CHALLENGES

n-Methylaniline (NMA) is classified as a hazardous compound, raising significant concerns regarding its environmental and health impact. Due to its toxic nature, improper handling, exposure, or disposal of NMA can pose serious risks to human

health and ecosystems. Direct contact or inhalation may lead to harmful physiological effects, while improper disposal can result in soil and water contamination, further exacerbating environmental hazards. These concerns necessitate stringent safety measures in its handling, storage, and disposal to mitigate potential health risks and ecological damage.

Another critical issue with NMA is its poor oxidation stability, which leads to fuel degradation over time. When exposed to air and high temperatures, NMA undergoes oxidative reactions, forming gum-like deposits and unstable compounds that deteriorate fuel quality. This degradation can adversely impact long-term fuel storage, reducing efficiency and reliability. To counteract this issue, fuel formulations incorporating NMA often require additional stabilizers and antioxidants, increasing production complexity and costs.

While NMA is known for enhancing combustion efficiency, its long-term effects on engine durability remain uncertain. The combustion process involving NMA may lead to the formation of carbon deposits and other byproducts that could negatively impact engine components such as fuel injectors, valves, and pistons. Prolonged use may contribute to increased wear and tear, affecting engine longevity. Therefore, further research and long-term testing are necessary to evaluate its impact on engine performance and maintenance requirements.

Regulatory restrictions also present a significant challenge to the widespread adoption of NMA. Many regions enforce stringent fuel composition standards and emissions regulations to control air pollution and environmental harm. Given the potential risks associated with NMA, its use may be limited in areas with strict environmental policies. Compliance with such regulations may require additional testing and modifications, making it less viable as a mainstream fuel additive.

Moreover, the compatibility and blending of NMA with conventional fuels introduce further complexities. Due to its oxidation instability, fuel formulations containing NMA require the addition of stabilizers and antioxidants to prevent degradation. This necessity complicates the blending process, increasing production challenges and costs. Compared to other fuel additives, integrating NMA into fuel formulations

is not as straightforward, requiring additional processing and quality control measures.

Lastly, the presence of competing fuel additives poses another hurdle to the adoption of NMA. While NMA offers benefits such as improved octane rating and combustion characteristics, alternative additives like Methylcyclopentadienyl Manganese Tricarbonyl (MMT) and Methyl Methacrylate (MMA) are also being explored for similar applications. Regulatory frameworks, cost-effectiveness, and overall performance may favor these alternatives over NMA in certain scenarios, influencing market preferences and limiting NMA's widespread use.

In conclusion, despite its advantages in enhancing fuel performance, NMA faces several challenges, including environmental and health risks, oxidation stability issues, uncertain long-term engine impacts, regulatory restrictions, blending complexities, and competition from alternative additives. Addressing these concerns through further research, improved formulations, and regulatory assessments will be crucial in determining the viability of NMA as a sustainable fuel additive.

ADVANTAGES

n-Methylaniline (NMA) has proven to be a highly effective octane booster, significantly enhancing the research octane number (RON) of gasoline. Studies indicate that adding 1%, 2%, and 3% NMA can elevate octane levels to 90, 93.4, and 96, respectively, outperforming conventional additives like Methylcyclopentadienyl Manganese Tricarbonyl (MMT) and 2,4-dimethylaniline. Additionally, NMA improves combustion efficiency by optimizing ignition timing, thermal efficiency, and combustion stability, resulting in more complete fuel combustion and reduced energy losses. Its ability to minimize knock tendencies in spark-ignition (SI) engines enables optimized engine performance without compromising efficiency.

Beyond performance improvements, NMA enhances fuel stability, particularly in ethanol-gasoline blends, by increasing phase separation resistance and maintaining fuel homogeneity, even in fuels with higher alcohol content. Furthermore, the incorporation of NMA in fuel formulations has been associated with lower emissions of NO_x and incomplete combustion products, promoting cleaner engine operation and environmental benefits. Compared to metal-based additives like

MMT, which can cause catalyst poisoning due to manganese content, NMA serves as a non-metallic alternative that extends catalyst lifespan while complying with environmental fuel regulations.

From an economic standpoint, NMA presents a cost-effective solution, requiring lower concentrations to achieve substantial fuel quality enhancements. Research suggests that it meets European fuel standards and can be seamlessly integrated into gasoline formulations without significant modifications. This makes NMA a viable alternative to traditional octane boosters, offering improved fuel performance, reduced emissions, and long-term engine benefits, all while maintaining regulatory compliance and cost efficiency.

5. DISADVANTAGES

Despite its benefits, n-Methylaniline (NMA) poses several challenges, particularly concerning toxicity and environmental impact. As a hazardous compound, NMA requires careful handling and disposal to minimize health and ecological risks. Additionally, it has poor oxidation stability, leading to fuel degradation over time and affecting long-term storage conditions. While NMA improves combustion efficiency and reduces knock, its long-term effects on engine durability, including potential deposits and wear, remain unclear and require further research. Furthermore, regulatory concerns may hinder its widespread adoption, as stringent fuel composition standards and emissions regulations in various regions could restrict its use, particularly in areas with strict environmental policies.

Blending and stability challenges also complicate the integration of NMA into fuel formulations. While it provides a cost-effective alternative to metal-based additives like Methylcyclopentadienyl Manganese Tricarbonyl (MMT), NMA requires additional stabilizers and antioxidants to maintain fuel quality, increasing production complexity. These factors may offset some of its cost advantages and make large-scale implementation more challenging. Addressing these issues through further research, improved formulation techniques, and regulatory alignment will be crucial for maximizing the potential of NMA in fuel applications.

DISCUSSION

n-Methylaniline (NMA) has proven to be an effective octane booster in ethanol-gasoline blends, enhancing combustion efficiency, optimizing ignition timing, and reducing knock tendencies in spark-ignition (SI) engines. Its ability to improve phase stability and lower cold-start emissions makes it a promising alternative to metal-based additives like MMT. However, concerns about its oxidation stability, potential toxicity, and long-term engine effects pose challenges for widespread adoption. Fuel degradation over time and regulatory constraints require further research to develop stabilized formulations that ensure both performance and environmental compliance. Optimizing NMA blends with suitable antioxidants could enhance its feasibility as a sustainable fuel additive.

CONCLUSION

n-Methylaniline (NMA) has demonstrated significant potential as an effective octane booster in ethanol-gasoline blends, improving combustion efficiency, fuel stability, and overall engine performance. Its ability to enhance the octane number, optimize ignition timing, and reduce knock tendencies makes it a promising alternative to conventional additives. Additionally, studies highlight its contribution to improved thermal efficiency and reduced emissions, further supporting its feasibility in spark-ignition (SI) engines. However, challenges such as toxicity, poor oxidation stability, and the uncertain long-term impact on emissions and engine durability must be thoroughly addressed. Ensuring regulatory compliance, optimizing formulation stability, and assessing its compatibility with existing fuel infrastructure are crucial for its widespread adoption. Future research should focus on mitigating these limitations while maximizing its benefits to create a sustainable and high-performance fuel additive. If these challenges are successfully managed, NMA could play a crucial role in advancing cleaner and more efficient fuel technologies.

FUTURE SCOPE

n-Methylaniline (NMA) shows great potential as an octane-enhancing additive in ethanol-gasoline blends, but further research is needed to optimize its formulation and address challenges. Enhancing its oxidation stability through suitable stabilizers can improve fuel storage life. Its environmental

impact, including emissions and toxicity, requires deeper evaluation to meet stringent regulations. Long-term studies on engine durability and compatibility with engine materials are crucial for sustained performance. Research should also focus on ensuring compliance with global fuel standards and assessing NMA's compatibility with existing fuel infrastructure. Comparative studies with alternative additives like MTBE and MMT can help determine its advantages and cost-effectiveness. Additionally, its application in advanced engine technologies should be explored to adapt to evolving automotive needs. Continued research and regulatory alignment will be key to making NMA a viable and eco-friendly fuel component.

REFERENCES

- [1] Yüksel, Fikret, and Bedri Yüksel. "The use of ethanol-gasoline blend as a fuel in an SI engine." *Renewable energy* 29.7 (2004): 1181-1191.
- [2] Thakur, Amit Kumar, et al. "Progress in performance analysis of ethanol-gasoline blends on SI engine." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 69 (2017): 324-340.
- [3] Masum, B. M., et al. "Effect of ethanol-gasoline blend on NOx emission in SI engine." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 24 (2013): 209-222.
- [4] Iodice, Paolo, et al. "Advantages of ethanol-gasoline blends as fuel substitute for last generation Si engines." *Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy* 36.4 (2017): 1173-1179.
- [5] M. Eyidogan, A. N. Ozsezen, M. Canakci, and A. Turkcan, "Impact of alcohol-gasoline fuel blends on the performance and combustion characteristics of an SI engine," *Fuel*, vol. 89, no. 10, pp. 2713-2720, Oct. 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.fuel.2010.01.032.
- [6] Hsieh, Wei-Dong, et al. "Engine performance and pollutant emission of an SI engine using ethanol-gasoline blended fuels." *Atmospheric environment* 36.3 (2002): 403-410.
- [7] Pal, Amit. "Blending of ethanol in gasoline: Impact on SI engine performance and emissions." *International Journal of Thermal Technologies* 4.1 (2014): 1-5.
- [8] Chen, Rong-Hong, et al. "Cold-start emissions of an SI engine using ethanol-gasoline blended fuel." *Applied Thermal Engineering* 31.8-9 (2011): 1463-1467.
- [9] Yuan, Hong. "ETBE as an additive in gasoline: advantages and disadvantages." (2006).
- [10] Iodice, Paolo, Giuseppe Langella, and Amedeo Amoresano. "Ethanol in gasoline fuel blends: Effect on fuel consumption and engine out emissions of SI engines in cold operating conditions." *Applied Thermal Engineering* 130 (2018): 1081-1089.
- [11] Usman, Muhammad, et al. "Comparative assessment of ethanol and methanol-ethanol blends with gasoline in SI engine for sustainable development." *Sustainability* 15.9 (2023): 7601.
- [12] Bhargava, Sanjay. "Relevance of Auto-ignition Chemistry of N-Methyl Aniline (NMA) for Developing High Performance Octane Boosting Gasoline."
- [13] Baba Akaribo, Benjamin Afotey, Comparative Analysis of Selected Octane Enhancing Fuel Additives as Substitute to Methylcyclopentadienyl Manganese Tricarbonyl (MMT), *International Journal of Energy Engineering*, Vol. 7 No. 3, 2017, pp. 65-73. doi: 10.5923/j.ijee.20170703.01.
- [14] K. Zh. Mamyrov, O. K. Beisenbayev, V. F. Shvets, and K. K. Syрманова from M. Auezov South Kazakhstan State University in Shymkent, Kazakhstan. It was published in

the Eurasian Chemico-Technological Journal, Volume 14, Issue 3, on September 28, 2012, pages 249–252.

- [15] Kaldykozov, E. K., et al. "Influence of additives on anti knock and environmental properties of gasoline and their mechanism of action." *Rasayan Journal of Chemistry* 13.4 (2020): 2582-2587.
- [16] G. Najafi, B. Ghobadian, T. Tavakoli, D. Buttsworth, T. F. Yusaf, and M. Faizollahnejad, "Performance and exhaust emissions of a gasoline engine with ethanol blended gasoline fuels using artificial neural network," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 86, no. 5, pp. 630–639, May 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2008.09.017.
- [17] A. S. Ramadhas, P. K. Singh, P. Sakthivel, R. Mathai, and A. K. Sehgal, "Effect of ethanol-gasoline blends on combustion and emissions of a passenger car engine at part load operations," *SAE Technical Paper* 2016-28-0152, 2016, doi: 10.4271/2016-28-0152.
- [18] W. D. Hsieh, H. R. Chen, T. L. Wu, and T. H. Lin, "Engine performance and pollutant emission of an SI engine using ethanol-gasoline blended fuels," *Atmos. Environ.*, vol. 36, pp. 403–410, 2002, doi: 10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00508-8.
- [19] K. M. Balki, C. Sayin, and M. Canakci, "The effects of different alcohol fuels on the performance, emission and combustion characteristics of a gasoline engine," *Fuel*, vol. 115, pp. 901–906, Jan. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.fuel.2012.09.020.
- [20] H. S. Yücesu, T. Topgül, C. Cinar, and M. Okur, "Effect of ethanol-gasoline blends on engine performance and exhaust emissions in different compression ratios," *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, vol. 26, pp. 2272–2278, 2006, doi: 10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2006.03.006.
- [21] T. Topgül, H. S. Yücesu, C. Cinar, and A. Koca, "The effects of ethanol-unleaded gasoline blends and ignition timing on engine performance and exhaust emissions," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 31, pp. 2534–2542, 2006, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2005.11.008.